This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/544,314	(04/06/2000	Claude Basso	RAL9-00-0049	8223	
25299	7590	08/12/2004		EXAMINER		
IBM CORP	ORATIC	N	CAO, DIEM K			
PO BOX 121	195					
DEPT 9CCA, BLDG 002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESEARCH	RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2126		
				DATE MAIL ED. 09/12/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 09/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SI

**	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/544,314	BASSO ET AL.	Q-				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Diem K Cao	2126					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this o ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 July</u>	une 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E		,					
Disposition of Claims	•	,					
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT(D-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _		·,				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	tion Cummon:	Dark of Darrack Land 197	-1- 000 10007				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20040607				

Application/Control Number: 09/544,314 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 remain in the application. Applicant has added claims 11-13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narisi et al. (U.S. 6,233,619 B1).
- 4. **As to claim 1**, Narisi teaches providing software enabled functions that open and close inter process communication paths for transmitting and receiving of inter process communication frames (MSS_OPEN_DIALOG, MSS_CLOSE_DIALOG; col. 31, lines 10-47 and MSS_Create_Endpoint_Dialog, MSS_Open_Endpoint_Dialog, MSS_Close_Endpoint_Dialog; col. 34, line 24 col. 35, line 46), providing software enabled functions that allow the inter process communication frames to be stacklessly transmitted to one of several processors in the network processing environment (a VTL and MSS which allow the ... bypass the conventional ISO network protocol stack; col. 12, line 53 col. 13, line 32), upon calling an open software transmit/receive IPC path function, selecting by software either data or control path to transmit or receive the inter process communication frames (control path, data path; col. 28, lines 1-11

Art Unit: 2126

and MSS DATA, MSS CONTROL DATA; col. 32, lines 13-31 and MSS Send Control Msg,

Page 3

MSS_Deliver_Data; col. 36, lines 5-67 and MSS packet; col. 28, lines 15-40).

5. However, Narisi does not explicitly teach the inter process communication frames

include guided frames. Narisi teaches the packets are used to transfer both control and user data

between MSS environments and MSS control information (col. 28, lines 15-40), the data in the

buffer is transferred as the packet (col. 26, lines 38-41), and MSS 92 places control information

to complete the operation needed by the MSS 96 in the buffer (col. 22, line 53 - col. 23, line 64).

6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made the guided frames (packets) are implemented in the system of Narisi because the

guided frames contains information to complete a transaction.

7. As to claim 2, Narisi teaches determining if an IPC path function is a send or receive

function, and if a receive function, calling a receive IPC function (Deliver Data,

Deliver_Data_Complete, Accept_Data, Accept_Data_Complete, Data_Notification,

Retrieve Data; col. 21, line 24 – col. 22, line 31).

8. As to computer system claim 5, it corresponds to the method claim of claim 1.

9. **As to claim 6**, see rejection of claim 2 above.

Art Unit: 2126

10. **As to claims 9 and 10**, Narisi does not explicitly teach the inter process communication frames include headers to exchange various frame formats. However, Narisi teaches the system is used to transfer both control and data information between different heterogeneous environments (abstract), header information of a frame is used to determine the network protocol provider (col. 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 17), the MSS provides a level of abstraction which allows the same interface to be used by multiple platforms (col. 18, lines 16-35), the Data Transfer Header (col. 24, lines 11-28 and col. 29, lines 1-25) wherein the data is transformed from emulated MCP layout to NT layout in the same operation as the data copy (col. 24, lines 23-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include header to exchange various frame formats because the system already support heterogeneous environment, and one embodiment showing the data is transformed from one type to another.

Page 4

11. **As to claim 11**, Narisi does not explicitly teach the transmitting and receiving of the inter process communication frames occur simultaneously. However, Narisi teaches each MSS component includes means to allows local and remote users to exchange data independent of which interconnect is being employed (col. 8, lines 27-36) and a plurality of dialogs are created for a plurality of pairs of the first and the second applications (col. 9, lines 31-37). Therefore, one application can exchange data to two or more applications at the same time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implementing simultaneously transmission of data in the system of Narisi because the application can do multiple tasks at the same time, i.e. receiving data from one application and transfer data to

Art Unit: 2126

another application synchronously. Examiner also noted that transmitting and receiving frames occurs synchronously is popular in the network communication.

Page 5

- 12. As to claim 12, see rejection of claim 11 above.
- 13. As to claim 13, Narisi teaches providing software enabled functions that open and close inter process communication paths for transmitting and receiving of inter process communication frames (MSS_OPEN_DIALOG, MSS_CLOSE_DIALOG; col. 31, lines 10-47 and MSS_Create_Endpoint_Dialog, MSS_Open_Endpoint_Dialog, MSS_Close_Endpoint_Dialog; col. 34, line 24 col. 35, line 46), providing software enabled functions that allow the inter process communication frames to be stacklessly transmitted to one of several processors in the network processing environment (a VTL and MSS which allow the ... bypass the conventional ISO network protocol stack; col. 12, line 53 col. 13, line 32), upon calling an open software transmit/receive IPC path function, selecting by software either data or control path to transmit or receive the inter process communication frames (control path, data path; col. 28, lines 1-11 and MSS_DATA, MSS_CONTROL_DATA; col. 32, lines 13-31 and MSS_Send_Control_Msg, MSS_Deliver_Data; col. 36, lines 5-67 and MSS_packet; col. 28, lines 15-40).
- 14. However, Narisi does not explicitly teach the transmitting and receiving of the inter process communication frames occur simultaneously. Narisi teaches each MSS component includes means to allows local and remote users to exchange data independent of which interconnect is being employed (col. 8, lines 27-36) and a plurality of dialogs are created for a

Art Unit: 2126

plurality of pairs of the first and the second applications (col. 9, lines 31-37). Therefore, one application can exchange data to two or more applications at the same time.

- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implementing simultaneously transmission of data in the system of Narisi because the application can do multiple tasks at the same time, i.e. receiving data from one application and transfer data to another application synchronously. Examiner also noted that transmitting and receiving frames occurs synchronously is popular in the network communication.
- 16. Claims 3-4 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narisi et al. (U.S. 6,233,619 B1) in view of Isfeld et al. (U.S. 5,802,278).
- 17. **As to claim 3**, Narisi does not teach determining if an IPC frame to be sent is to be unicast or multicast, if multicast then calling a multicast transmit function, but if unicast then calling a unicast transmit function. However, Narisi teaches a message can be send to one or more applications (the system can be used to transfer data to and from multiple network protocol providers on each system; col. 57, lines 24-35 and the same interface to be used by multiple platforms; col. 18, lines 16-35). Isfeld teaches determining if an IPC frame to be sent is to be unicast or multicast, if multicast then calling a multicast transmit function, but if unicast then calling a unicast transmit function (unicast, broadcast functions; col. 40, lines 1-67 and IP CEC and IP DPMS; col. 43, line 50 col. 45, line 32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Narisi and Isfeld

Art Unit: 2126

because it provides a method for custom applications can be developed faster and more cost effectively (col. 2, lines 1-59).

- 18. As to claim 4, Narisi teaches closing a software transmit/receive IPC path function (MSS_DATA, MSS_CONTROL_DATA; col. 32, lines 14-30 and MSS_Send_Control_Msg, MSS_Deliver_Data, MSS_Retrieve Data Msg, MSS Receive Message; col. 36, line 5 - col. 38, line 67).
- 19. As to claims 7-8, see rejections of claims 3-4 above.

Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed 06/07/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 21. In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that (1) Narisi does not disclose or suggest at least that "the inter process communication frames include guided frames", and (2) Narisi does not disclose exchanging frame formats.
- 22. Examiner respectfully traversed Applicant's remarks:

As to point (1), Narisi teaches the control data is put into the buffer, and the data in the buffer is transfer as the packet (see rejection of claim 1 above). It is well known in the art that frame is a packet of transmitted information, therefore, it would be obvious the system of Narisi would implement the guided frames. Examiner also would like to pointed out that the

Page 7

Art Unit: 2126

specification does not disclose what is the functionality of guided frame, and what type of information is included in the frame, examiner could interprets broadly that header frame could be guided frame also because it contains the data as where to send the message, and what type of message is included, which is always included when transfer data between different systems. Although Applicant provided in the remark that "guided frames provide for distinct functions typically including highly efficient configuration updates among blades", it is not supported by the specification, and it Applicant believes it is supported, please provide which passages in the specification support it (page, line number).

As to point (2), Narisi teaches the heterogeneous environments are supported, and data is transform from emulated MCP layout to NT layout in the same operation as the data copy (see rejection of claim 9 above). It would have been obvious the system of Narisi could be implemented to have frames include headers to exchange frame formats because the system of Narisi already support the exchange frame format functionality.

Conclusion

23. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2126

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Diem K Cao whose telephone number is (703) 305-5220. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

meng-al t. an

RORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9

LOGY CENTER 2100

Diem Cao